DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Angela **N.** Brown Regulatory Counsel

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

FEB **0 3** 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

BellSouth Corporation Legal Department – **Suite** 4300 675 West Peachtree Street. N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0001 Telephone: 404-335-0724 Facsimile: 404-614-4054

January 23,2003

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room TW-A325 The Portals, **445** 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Request for Extension of Time (In the Matter of Federal-Slate Joint Board on Universal Service, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On January 17, 2003, the Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee, AT&T Corp., e-commerce & Telecommunications Users Group, Level 3 Communications. LLC, and WorldCom (collectively "Parties") jointly filed a motion seeking an extension of the deadline for filing comments and reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding.

See Motion for Extension of Time, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, et al. (filed January 17, 2003). Specifically, the Parties requested that the dates for filing comments and replies in response to the Commission's Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("Second Further Notice") be extended to February 28, 2003, and April IS. 2003, respectively. BellSouth supports the extension request and urges the Commission io grant the motion expeditiously.

BellSouth agrees [hat additional time to submit both comments and reply comments is necessary in order to provide meaningful and substantive input on the various proposals detailed in the Second Further Notice. Because the record in this proceeding is so voluminous, and some of the proposals at issue either have been modified from their original form or were only recently proposed, additional time is

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch January 23,2003 Page 2 of 2

needed to understand fully and prepare a comprehensive analysis of the impact of each proposal.

In addition, an extension is warranted because of the overlapping universal service proceedings. Less than a week ago, reply comments were due on the Federal-State Joint Board's Recommended Decision regarding the methodology for determining high-cost universal service support for non-rural carriers. See Comment Sought on the Recommended Decision & the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Regarding the Nun-Rural High-Cost Support Mechanism, CC docket No. 96-45, DA 02-2976, Public Notice (rel. Nov. 5, 1996). Many of the same parties that participated in the non-rural high-cost support proceeding are also participating in the instant proceeding to reform the contribution and recovery mechanism. Because of the overlapping pleading cycles, the required personnel and subject matter experts have not had adequate time to analyze the Second Further Notice. An extension would allow these individuals time to provide significantly more substantive input to assist the Commission in its decisionmaking than the current tiling schedule permits.

An extension of the reply comment deadline as requested in the motion is warranted as well. No doubt, there will be a large volume of comments filed. Over 50 parties submitted comments on the *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* released last February in this proceeding, and it is likely that approximately the same number will participate in this round. The requested extension for filing reply comments is modest – two weeks added to the Commission's original 30-day window. BellSouth strongly believes that the quality and value of the reply comments would be greatly improved if commenters were given more time to review and analyze the initial pleadings.

The potential impact of revamping the universal service contribution methodology is significant; therefore, it is critical that the Commission afford parties adequate time to thoroughly review and analyze the various proposals along with evidence submitted by commenters. Accordingly, the Commission should grant the Parties' motion and extend the dates for filing comments and replies on the Commission's *Second Further Notice* to February 28,2003, and April 18,2003, respectively.

Sincerely,

Angela N. Brown

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have this 23rd day of January 2003 served the following parties to this action with a copy of the foregoing **LETTER** by electronic filing, electronic mail and/or by placing a copy of the same in the United States Mail. addressed to the parties listed below.

+Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 12" Street, S. W. Room TW-A325 Washington, D. C. 20554

+Qualex International The Portals, 445 12th Street, S.W Room CY-B401 Washington, D.C. 20554

** William Maher Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 12th Street, **S.** W. Room 5C450 Washington, D. C. 20554

**Carol Mattey
Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals, 445 12" Street, S. W.
Room 5C451
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Eric Einhorn
Chief TAPD
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals, 445 12th Street. S.W.
Room 5A44 1
Washington, D. C. 20554

**Diane Law Hsu
Deputy Chief TAPD
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals, 445 12th Street, S. W.
Room 6A360
Washington, D. C. 20554

Juanita H. Lee

+ VIA ELECTRONIC FILING
*** VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL